

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED 5-27-08

08 CIV 4875

MAHAR

258-08/GMV/SL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

08 CV _____ ()

ELNORA FINANCE S.A.,

Plaintiff,

- against -

TRADE HOUSE OLEXANDRIA HLIB L.L.C.,

Defendant.

**ORDER
DIRECTING CLERK TO ISSUE
PROCESS OF MARITIME
ATTACHMENT AND
GARNISHMENT;
APPOINTING PERSON TO
SERVE PROCESS PURSUANT
TO RULE 4(c); AND
CONCERNING SCOPE OF
SERVICE**

Upon reading and filing the Verified Complaint of the Plaintiff herein, verified on the 27th day of May, 2008, and the Affidavit of Susan Lee, sworn to on the same day, that to the best of her information and belief Defendant TRADE HOUSE OLEXANDRIA HLIB L.L.C. cannot be found within this District for the purpose of an attachment under Supplemental Rule B(1) and in support of an order appointing a special process server pursuant to Rule 4(c), and the Court having found that the conditions required by Rule B(1) of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure exist, and good cause having been shown,

NOW, upon motion of Freehill Hogan & Mahar, LLP, attorneys for the Plaintiff, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court is directed forthwith to issue the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment for seizure of all tangible and intangible property of the Defendant, as described therein, including but not limited to any property of the Defendant such as any cash, funds, escrow funds, debts, credits, wire transfers, electronic funds transfers, accounts, letters of credit, freights, sub-freights, charter hire, sub-charter hire, and/or other assets of, belonging to, due or being transferred to, from, or for the benefit of the Defendant TRADE

HOUSE OLEXANDRIA HLIB L.L.C. (collectively, "ASSETS"), including but not limited to such ASSETS as may be held, received or transferred in its name or as may be held, received or transferred for its benefit, at, through, or within the possession, custody or control of banking institutions, and/or other garnishee(s) on whom a copy of the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment may be served, in the amount of **USD182,841.46**, pursuant to Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and it is further

O R D E R E D that supplemental process enforcing the Court's Order may be issued and served without further Order of the Court; and it is further


O R D E R E D that Susan Lee, Robert Ridenour, Joan Sorrentino, Christina Gargano, or any other partner, associate, paralegal or other agent of Freehill Hogan & Mahar LLP be and is hereby appointed, in addition to the United States Marshal, to serve the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment and the Verified Complaint, together with a copy of this Order and any interrogatories, upon garnishee(s), together with any other garnishee(s) who (based upon information developed subsequent hereto by the Plaintiff) may hold assets of, for, or on behalf of the Defendant; and it is further

O R D E R E D that following initial service upon any garnishee by the United States Marshal or any other person designated by this or any subsequent Order to make service in this action, supplemental service of the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment may thereafter be made by way of facsimile transmission or other verifiable electronic means, including e-mail, to each garnishee so personally served, such service to be in accordance with each garnishee's preference or policy, and such facsimile or other electronic transmission shall

be deemed to be made within the district if it has been sent from within the district; and it is further

ORDERED that service on any garnishee herein is deemed to be effective and continuous service throughout the remainder of the day upon which such service is made commencing from the time of such service, and it is further deemed to be effective through the end of the next business day, provided another service is made the next business day.

Dated: New York, New York
May 27, 2008


Victor Marrero
U.S.D.J.

This writ of Habeas Corpus shall remain in effect for an initial period of 90 days. Prior to its expiration plaintiff may request renewal upon a showing of sufficient reason to maintain this order in place. Plaintiff shall provide the court with a report on the status of the matter within 90 days. In the event such report or application is not submitted, the court may dismiss the action.

SO ORDERED: *[Signature]*
5/27/08
DATE **VICTOR MARRERO, U.S.D.J.**